PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Fluid–structure interaction analysis on motion control of a self-propelled flexible plate near a rigid body utilizing PD control

To cite this article: Yang Luo et al 2021 Bioinspir. Biomim. 16 066002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 84.69.140.87 on 03/09/2021 at 15:39

Bioinspiration & Biomimetics

OPEN ACCESS

CrossMark

RECEIVED 28 April 2021 REVISED

6 August 2021

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 12 August 2021

PUBLISHED 2 September 2021

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Fluid-structure interaction analysis on motion control of a self-propelled flexible plate near a rigid body utilizing PD control

Yang Luo¹, Marvin Wright¹, Qing Xiao^{1,*}, Hong Yue² and Guang Pan³

Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Marine Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G4 0LZ, United Kingdom

Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G4 0LZ, United Kingdom

School of Marine Science and Technology, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, People's Republic of China

Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: qing.xiao@strath.ac.uk

Keywords: fish swimming control, computational fluid dynamics, fluid-structure interaction

Abstract

PAPER

Inspired by a previous experimental study of fish swimming near a cylinder, we numerically investigate the swimming and station-holding behavior of a flexible plate ahead of a circular cylinder whose motion is controlled by a proportional-derivative (PD) controller. Specifically, the deformation of this two-dimensional plate is actuated by a periodically varying external force applied on the body surface, which mimics the fish muscle force to produce propulsive thrust. The actuation force amplitude is dynamically adjusted by a feedback controller to instruct the plate to swim the desired distance from an initial position to a target location and then hold the station there. Instead of directly using the instantaneous position signal, an average speed measured over one force actuation period is proposed with the inclusion of instantaneous position information to form the tracking error for the PD control. Our results show that the motion control of swimming and station holding has been achieved by this simple but effective feedback control without large overshoot when approaching the target at different flow conditions and actuation force formulas. Although the swimming distance remains the same, a plate whose initial position is closer to the cylinder requires less energy expenditure to swim to the target location and hold the station there. This is because the low-pressure zone near the trailing edge of the plate is reduced in size, which provides drag reduction, contributing to reduced swimming energy. A higher Reynolds number also leads to energy savings. Under the same control strategy, the swimming performance is more affected by the force-frequency while the phase shift of the actuation force has a less significant impact.

1. Introduction

Aquatic animals provide ample prototypes for the design of efficient artificial underwater robots and vehicles. This motivates biologists, physicists, and engineers to study the mechanism of fish swimming through experimental and theoretical modeling. Most of the existing research focuses on the scenarios when fish swims in the still fluid or uniform flow (Liu *et al* 2017, Maertens *et al* 2017, Mittal *et al* 2006). For example, straightforward swimming and turning maneuvers of a 3D eel-like swimmer in turbulent flow were simulated by Leroyer and Visonneau (2005) which provided quantities that can hardly be measured on live species, like drag and efficiency. Recently

published papers reported pioneering applications of large eddy simulations to study more fish-like selfpropelled virtual swimmers in turbulent flow conditions (Bottom Ii *et al* 2016, Daghooghi and Borazjani 2015, Ogunka *et al* 2020). These studies improve our understanding of fundamental hydrodynamics during the locomotion of swimming fish. However, the majority of fishes commonly experience altered flows, a scenario different from the still or steady flow conditions (Liao 2007). Fishes present different swimming behaviors in these altered flow conditions in contrast to still or uniform flow. For instance, biological observations by Shuler *et al* (1994) revealed that brown trouts in rivers prefer a feeding site that is near wing dams, midchannel boulder clusters, and natural bank cover, but avoid areas without structures. Another example is that dolphins are seen to ride the bow wake wave behind ships (Scholander 1959).

In addition to the above biological observations in the field, laboratory studies, in which the fluid conditions are subject to elaborated control, have also been conducted to explore the swimming behaviors and underlying hydrodynamic mechanism. One example is the experimental study of rainbow trout swimming near a bow cylinder in the uniform flow by Liao et al (2003). They compared the axial swimming kinematics in response to three different fluid conditions, i.e. in the Karman vortex street behind the cylinder, in the cylinder bow wake, and the free stream. The trout fish behind the cylinder was found to adopt a distinctive gait pattern to hold the station, which was termed the Karman gait. Their measurement revealed that the body oscillation amplitude and curvatures are much larger than that when the fish swims in the absence of the upstream cylinder. Besides, the fish also voluntarily matches its tail-beat frequency with that of the vortex shedding. This could be the evidence that fish can actively capture energy from the vortices produced by the environment during the station-holding. Another phenomenon discovered by this experiment is that the fish tends to hold the station in the bow wake (in front) of the cylinder rather than behind the cylinder. Following this study, Beal et al (2006) found that even a dead fish can be propelled upstream when placed in the wake of a bluff cylinder, for which the energy harvest is purely passive due to the resonance oscillation of its flexible body under the action of incoming vortices.

Inspired by the above experimental studies of live fish, some numerical simulations were conducted using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) techniques in an attempt to elucidate the underlying hydrodynamic mechanism when fish swims near a rigid body, e.g. a cylinder. It started with the simplification that the model kinematics was prescribed mathematically in many studies (Bao and Tao 2013, Wu et al 2014, Xiao et al 2012b), in which the dynamic interaction between the flexible deformation and the surrounding flow is excluded. This is distinct from real biological scenarios where the fish body and fins are characterized as flexible structures. A few studies considered the fluid-structure interaction (FSI) when the swimmer is swimming in the altered flow. For example, by assuming the fish body as a flexible filament, Tian et al (2011) studied the hydrodynamic force and the deformation pattern of the filament in the Karman gait region and the entrainment region termed by Liao et al (2003). A subsequent study reported the swimming behavior of a self-propelled flexible fin in the wake of a circular cylinder (Park et al 2016). They observed three patterns of the plate in the cylinder wake: propulsion upstream, drift downstream (DD), and holding station at an equilibrium position. The station-holding

mode is dependent on the initial longitudinal position of the fin relative to the cylinder and its heave frequency. Similar phenomenon was reported in a following study (Wang *et al* 2019), in which two tandem cylinders were considered to yield more complex hydrodynamic environment.

Nevertheless, fishes were found to favor holding the station in the bow wake (Liao et al 2003). Most of the existing research concentrated on the swimming behind the cylinder, while few on the behavior in front of the cylinder. It is thus necessary to study the latter scenario which would help to elucidate the mechanism of station-holding or the socalled 'flow refuging' behavior. One such example is an FSI simulation of the interaction between a flexible filament and a downstream rigid body by Tian et al (2010). This model was fixed at a location without self-propulsion, thus it is unclear how the interaction would influence free-swimming behavior. Carling et al (1998) suggested that the force calculated in this tethered mode could differ remarkably from the force developed by a freely swimming model. Although their work demonstrated that drag reduction is possible as the fluid immediately upstream of a bluff body is being 'pushed', the deformation of the filament was purely passive, distinct to the fish swimming where both active actuation and passive deformation are involved to achieve the objectives of swimming forward or holding station.

Indeed, very limited research considered dynamically changing the swimming gait or actuation scheme, as real fishes do. A real fish can constantly and actively tune its body and/or fin oscillation for acceleration/deceleration to swim towards a specific target, as well as holding a position in an unsteady fluid environment. A recent study reported the effective implementation of a feedback proportional–integral–derivative (PID) controller to manipulate a soft plate to follow specific target trajectories (Hess *et al* 2020). In their work, the swimming speed and direction were dynamically controlled by actively changing the muscle-like contractive actuation strain. It demonstrated the feasibility to employ a simple control to fish swimming study.

In this work, we numerically study the swimming performance and station-holding capability of a 2D flexible plate in front of a fixed cylinder in a uniform flow. The flexible plate with uniform stiffness along the centerline is actuated via an external force, which is periodically and uniformly applied along the lateral direction. In the following, this force is referred to as actuation force. The amplitude of the actuation force (control variable) is dynamically changed by a feedback proportional–derivative (PD) controller that neglects the integral (I) term of a typical PID control in which all the proportional, integral, and derivative terms of the tracking error are considered to calculate and reduce the difference between the desired setpoint and the measured process variable. Similar



to real fish swimming scenarios (Liao *et al* 2003), the control objective is to instruct the plate to swim a certain distance to a target position and hold the station there, subject to different initial locations relative to the cylinder. Through the coupling of PD control with the FSI numerical solver, we aim to investigate the effectiveness of real-time feedback control in achieving swimming and station-holding involving complex FSI. We also aim at exploring energy consumption under controlled actuation, which cannot be measured in a biological experimental environment.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The geometry, actuation mechanism, fluid environment, and control scheme of the self-propelled plate are introduced in section 2. The metrics to evaluate the swimming and station-holding performance are also defined in this section. In the next section, the numerical models and approaches to discretize and solve the governing equations are described, followed by the implementation of the PD control and selfconsistency study. Section 4 presents the numerical results including swimming performance, flow field, and the structural deformation under the closed-loop PD control. Finally, the conclusions are drawn in section 5.

2. Problem statement

The model considered in this work is a twodimensional flexible plate, placed in front of a fixed cylinder, as shown in figure 1. This 2D modeling is chosen to reduce computational cost because of numerous feedback control loops that serve to reduce tracking errors. The initial distance from the plate to the cylinder is denoted by d_0 . Different from most of the previous studies (Dai *et al* 2016, Kim *et al* 2016), the deformation of the plate is considered to be manipulated by an external force applied in the transverse direction, which is uniformly distributed on its surface that mimics the muscle forces of the fish fin. This actuation mechanism by adding an external force on the body surface has been successfully implemented for a squid-like jet model (Luo *et al* 2020b) and a ribbon tail ray-like bio-inspired underwater robot (Shi and Xiao 2021).

In structural dynamics, the leading edge of the plate is fixed (pinned) while the trailing edge is set as free. Following previous similar studies of self-propelled swimmers near a cylinder (Park *et al* 2016, Shao *et al* 2010, Wang *et al* 2019), the plate is restricted to move in the horizontal direction because the effect of the presence of the cylinder on the plate is dominant by the horizontal distance between them. Besides, our numerical tests showed that the lateral movement is not substantial under the present actuation method and control scheme. Thus, the plate is only allowed for free-swimming in the horizontal direction along the *x*-direction is given by Newton's second law

$$m_{\rm b}\frac{\mathrm{d}u_{\rm b}}{\mathrm{d}t} = F_x,\tag{1}$$

where m_b is the mass of the plate, u_b is the swimming velocity in *x*-direction, and F_x represents the component of the overall fluidic force in the *x*-direction.

A feedback controller is implemented to dynamically adjust the actuation force and therefore, control the motion of the plate. The control scheme, detailed in section 3.2, is derived to lead the plate to swim from an initial position $x_i = p_0$ (measured at the leading edge of the plate) to a target position $x_t = p_0 - L$ (see in figure 1), and stay there, balancing thrust and drag forces over one plate deflection cycle period. As a result, the actuation force amplitude is given by

$$F_{\rm ef}(t) = \alpha(t) \cdot F_{\rm ef0} \sin\left(2\pi f_{\rm ef}t + \varphi\right), \qquad (2)$$

where α denotes the control variable ranging between [0, 1] which serves as the adjustment factor of the actuation force amplitude, $f_{\rm ef}$ is the actuation frequency of the force, and φ represents the phase shift of the actuation force. $F_{\rm ef0}$ is the maximum amplitude of the actuation force, given by $F_{\rm ef0} = 0.5 \rho_{\rm f} U^2 L \cdot C_{\rm ef0}$, where $\rho_{\rm f}$ is the fluid density, U is the free stream velocity, L is the chord length of the plate which is equal to the diameter of the cylinder D (L = D), and $C_{\rm ef0}$ denotes the actuation force coefficient. The adjustment factor α is determined by the feedback

controller and its calculation will be described in the next section.

The Reynolds number is defined by

$$Re = LU/\nu, \tag{3}$$

where ν is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. The instantaneous thrust coefficient $C_{\rm T}$ is given by

$$C_{\rm T} = -\frac{F_x}{\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\rm f} U^2 L}.\tag{4}$$

To evaluate the swimming performance at different initial positions d_0 , the time T_s , which the plate takes to travel the distance of *L* to reach the target location, is recorded. The overall energy expenditure coefficient C_{Ps} required by the plate during T_s is defined as

$$C_{Ps} = \frac{\int_0^{1s} P_{\rm in}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t}{\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\rm f} U^2 L^2},\tag{5}$$

where $P_{\rm in}(t)$ stands for the instantaneous power input as $P_{\rm in}(t) = \int_{\Gamma_{\rm f}} \mathbf{F}_{\rm ef}(s,t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(s,t) \, \mathrm{d}s$ with $\mathbf{u}(s,t)$ being the velocity of the grid node *s* where the actuation force is applied along the body surface $\Gamma_{\rm f}$. Besides, the mean energy expenditure coefficient required to dynamically maintain near the target point is calculated by

$$C_{P_h} = \frac{\int_t^{t+1_h} P_{\text{in}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t}{T_h \cdot \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\text{f}} U^3 L},\tag{6}$$

where T_h is the selected reference period when the plate stays around the target after reaching the position $x_t = x_i - L$, which is a constant for all the cases considered. Through the evaluation of equations (5) and (6), we can assess energy consumption during swimming and station holding.

Key dimensionless parameters are as follows: the mass ratio $m^* = \rho_s h/\rho_f L$ with ρ_s denoting the density of the plate and *h* being the thickness of the body; the non-dimensional actuation force frequency $f^* = f_{ef}L/U$; the dimensionless stiffness $K = EI/(\rho_f U^2 L^3)$, where *E* is Young's modulus and $I = h^3/12$ denotes the area moment of inertial of the cross-section; and the Poisson ratio ν_s .

3. Methodology

3.1. Numerical model and approach

The FSI numerical solver involves the coupled resolution of fluid and structural dynamics. The coupling between the fluid and structural solver is implemented in a partitioned manner. In this section, the governing equations and numerical methods of the fluid and structural dynamics are described, respectively, followed by a brief introduction of the coupling between them.

The fluid around the plate is governed by unsteady, compressible, and viscous Navier–Stokes equations. Under the assumption of no internal source term and by ignoring the body force, the

governing equations can be written in an integral form as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_{\Omega_{\rm f}} \mathbf{G} \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\rm f} + \iint_{\Gamma_{\rm f}} \mathbf{F} \mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\rm f} = 0, \qquad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{G} = (\rho_f, \rho_f \boldsymbol{v}, \rho_f E)^T$ is the conservative variable vector, \boldsymbol{v} is the velocity vector in the Cartesian coordinate system, and *E* denotes the total energy. Ω_f represents the fluid control domain with the boundary as Γ_f . **F** is the flex vector consisting of the convective and pressure fluxed fluxes arising from the viscous shear stress and thermal diffusion.

The above governing equations are discretized and numerically resolved based on the cell-centered finite volume method. Specifically, with a structured grid method, the fluid domain Ω_f is divided into an array of hexahedral grid cells, and each of these cells is indexed by (i, j, k). The conservation laws are then applied to these cells, and their semi-discretized form for a cell can be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\mathbf{G}_{i,j,k} \Delta \Omega_{\mathbf{f}} \right)_{i,j,k} - \mathbf{H}_{i,j,k} = \mathbf{D}_{i,j,k}, \tag{8}$$

where $\Delta\Omega_{\rm f}$ is the control volume of the cell (i, j, k), and $\mathbf{H}_{i,j,k}$ is the total convective and diffusive fluxes entering the hexahedral cell through its surfaces. An artificial viscosity term $\mathbf{D}_{i,j,k}$ is introduced to stabilize the scheme and eliminate the spurious numerical oscillations (Jameson *et al* 1981).

For the present unsteady simulations, we employ a dual-time stepping algorithm for temporal integration, in which equation (8) can be reformulated as a steady-state problem by introducing a pseudo-time \tilde{t}

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} \mathbf{G}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta \Omega_{\rm f}} \mathbf{H}^* \left(\mathbf{G}^{n+1} \right), \qquad (9)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H}^{*} \left(\mathbf{G}^{n+1} \right) = \mathbf{H} \left(\mathbf{G}^{n+1} \right) + \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{G}^{n+1} \right)$$
(10)
$$- \frac{3 (\mathbf{G} \Delta \Omega_{\mathrm{f}})^{n+1} - 4 (\mathbf{G} \Delta \Omega_{\mathrm{f}})^{n} + (\mathbf{G} \Delta \Omega_{\mathrm{f}})^{n-1}}{2 \Delta t},$$

where the superscripts n - 1 and n denote the two previous time steps, yielding a second-order accuracy. A hybrid multistage Runge–Kutta scheme is implemented to integrate equation (9).

Parallel computation is achieved by domain decomposition based on message passing interface to enable large-scale computation in this numerical flow solver. The local time-stepping and multigrid methods are implemented to increase convergence speed. More details of this fluid solver are described in Sadeghi (2004). Besides, a multi-block overset grid system is utilized in this study to handle the relative motion between the plate and cylinder. This overset grid method is based on the implicit hole cutting technique proposed by Lee and Baeder (2003) and improved by Liao et al (2007). Specifically, there are two clusters of structured grids in our simulations, as shown in figure 4, one is the background grid containing the cylinder (cluster 1), and the other one is the body-fitted grid around the body (cluster 2). In this way, the latter cluster can move relative to the former one by avoiding large mesh distortion or even the occurrence of negative cell volume. The details of this overset grid assembler are described in Shi et al (2019). The grid deformation of the inner points within cluster 2 is obtained using a spring-analogy method (Batina 1990) and arc-lengthbased trans-finite interpolation method (Sadeghi et al 2003). To retain the original grid quality after deformation, Hermite polynomials as blending functions are used to maintain the grid angles of the original grid near the wall by specifying the derivatives of the grid coordinates at the boundaries. The details of the mesh smoothing algorithm can be found in Tsai et al (2001).

The self-propulsion of the plate in the horizontal direction within the computational domain is solved based on Newton's second law as mentioned in section 2. To determine the instantaneous lateral location and velocity, an explicit time marching scheme is implemented to discretize equation (1) as

$$u_{\rm b}^n = \frac{F_x^{n-1}}{m_{\rm b}} \Delta t + u_{\rm b}^{n-1},\tag{11}$$

where *n* and n-1 denotes the current and the previous time level, and Δt is the time step. The location of the plate is then obtained by integrating equation (11).

It is necessary to ensure that the compressibility is negligibly small to simulate an incompressible flow problem using the present compressible flow solver. As we did in the previous applications to incompressible simulations, the freestream Mach number, defined as $Ma_{\infty} = U/a_{\infty}$ with a_{∞} denoting sound speed, is chosen as 0.06. This value is chosen to be far below the critical value of 0.3, where the compressibility effect becomes pronounced but still sufficiently large to ensure numerical stability. Given that the boundary is moving during the deformation of the plate, the actual Mach number may become larger than Ma_{∞} near the body. Therefore, for computation accuracy, the local Mach numbers in the whole computational domain are monitored during computation to ensure that they are always below the critical value. This compressible flow solver has been successfully applied to various incompressible flow simulations involving flexible deformation in our previous work (Liu et al 2016, Luo et al 2020a, Luo et al 2020b, 2021, Xiao and Liao 2010, Xiao et al 2012a). This numerical solver is validated in Luo et al (2020a) and Luo et al (2020b). The quantitative validations include simulations of flow over a flexible plate attached behind a stationary square cylinder, the bending of a flexible plate in the uniform flow, the

response of a flexible plate in forced heave motion (Luo *et al* 2020a), and flow over a NACA 0012 airfoil (Luo *et al* 2020b). Good agreements between the simulation results and the counterparts reported in the literature are obtained. The capability of this solver to resolve the self-propulsion of a flexible plate is further validated in appendix.

Regarding the structural dynamics, the deformation of the plate is governed by the weak form of momentum balance, which can be written in the differential form as

$$\frac{D^2 \mathbf{S}}{Dt^2} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm s}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{f}, \qquad (12)$$

where the left-hand side of the equation denotes the acceleration of a material point, calculated by the second derivative of the displacement vector \mathbf{S} of the structure. \mathbf{P} is the second Piola–Kirchoff stress tensor which models the surface forces, and the body forces per unit mass are represented by \mathbf{f} .

A constitutive equation that describes the correlation between the stress and the strain is established to close up equation (12), as follows. Specifically, for a Saint Venant–Kirchhoff material, the second Piola–Kirchoff stress tensor **P** is given by

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} = 0.5 \left(\mathbf{J}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{J} - \boldsymbol{\delta} \right), \qquad (13)$$

where **C** is the elastic tensor, **E** denotes the Green–Lagrange strain tensor, **J** represents the deformation gradient and δ is the unit tensor.

The general governing equations of structural dynamics are discretized based on the finite element method (Dhondt 2004). With the application of the virtual work method, a linear algebraic equation system as a result of the discretization in the whole solid domain is given as

$$[\mathbf{K}] \{\mathbf{S}\} + [\mathbf{M}] \frac{D^2}{Dt^2} \{\mathbf{S}\} = \{\mathbf{F}\}, \qquad (14)$$

where [K], [M] and [F] denotes the global stiffness matrix, global mass matrix and global force vector, respectively.

The α -method described in Dhondt (2004) is implemented for temporal discretization. Denoting the velocity vector as $\{\mathbf{U}\} := \{\dot{S}\}$ and the acceleration vector as $\{\mathbf{A}\} := \{\ddot{S}\}$, the solution at the time step n + 1 can be obtained by

$$\{\mathbf{U}\}^{n+1} = \left\{\hat{\boldsymbol{U}}\right\}^{n+1} + \gamma \Delta t \{\mathbf{A}\}^{n+1}, \quad (15)$$

$$\{\mathbf{S}\}^{n+1} = \left\{\hat{\mathbf{S}}\right\}^{n+1} + \beta (\Delta t)^2 \{\mathbf{A}\}^{n+1}, \qquad (16)$$

where the predictor of velocity and displacement vector at time step n + 1 is denoted by $\{\hat{U}\}^{n+1}$ and $\{\hat{S}\}^{n+1}$, which are only dependent on the values at the time step n. γ and β are constants determined by



a chosen constant α_s . The structural solver is based on CalculiX and more details of the numerical methods can be found in Dhondt (2004).

Another main ingredient of the present FSI solver is the coupling between the fluid solver and the structural solver. In this work, the two solvers are coupled based on preCICE (Bungartz *et al* 2016) in a partitioned approach. An implicit coupling is implemented, in which sub-iterations and convergence criteria are introduced during each time step to ensure numerical stability and accuracy. An improved IQN-ILS method is also applied to stabilize and accelerate coupling iterations (Mehl *et al* 2016). The data mapping at the interface between the fluid and structural solver is established via the radial basis functions based interpolation. More details of this FSI solver are provided in our previous work (Luo *et al* 2020a).

3.2. Feedback controller

Control objectives are set for the swimming motion, i.e. swimming towards a target point from an initial point in front of the cylinder, and remaining at that target position. A feedback control system is developed for this purpose. Previous numerical studies have shown that PID control is robust and effective for fish swimming motion control (Gao and Triantafyllou 2018, Hess *et al* 2020). A general form of a PD controller is given as

$$u(t) = k_{\rm p}e(t) + k_{\rm d}\frac{\mathrm{d}(e_{\rm t})}{\mathrm{d}t},\qquad(17)$$

where u(t) is the control action corresponding to the adjustment factor α of the force amplitude here, e(t) is the tracking error, and k_p and k_d are the tuning gains.

The plate's body deformation and global motion are actively influenced by the actuation force. To achieve the control objectives, a control variable α is added to dynamically adjust the actuation force amplitude, as shown in equation (2). The feedback control diagram with PD control and the FSI solver is shown in figure 2.

In a previous study on swimming motion control, the tracking error is calculated using the sign from the dot-product of the swimming velocity vector and the distance vector (Hess *et al* 2020). This can avoid the overshoot that the plate moves past the target position without stopping at the right time. Different from the above method, we propose to use the swimming speed for control, rather than the position tracking error used in many previous studies (Kopman et al 2014, Yen et al 2018). This is because our numerical tests show that a tracking error that only considers the distance between the target position and the current position leads to a large overshoot in position control. Specifically, a PD controller is used to dynamically adjust the control variable α in order to drive the plate's velocity towards the desired velocity $u_{\text{set}}(t)$. u_{set} is dependent on the gap between the current plate position and the target position, given by $G(t) = x_{s}(t) - x_{t}$ with $x_{s}(t)$ denoting the instantaneous position of the plate's leading-edge and xt being the target position, as shown in figure 3. Given the periodic deformation of the oscillating plate, we use the mean velocity of the plate averaged over one actuation period in the tracking error evaluation instead of instantaneous value. This enhances numerical stability by avoiding high fluctuation of the error signal, which is essential to maintain stable control of the plate through balancing the thrust and drag forces over one cycle period, especially when approaching the target position.

Following the above logic, the tracking error e(t) is defined as

$$e(t) = \overline{u_{\rm b}(t)} - u_{\rm set}(t), \qquad (18)$$

where $\overline{u_b(t)}$ is the time-average swimming velocity of the plate during one force actuation period *T* given by

$$\overline{u_{\rm b}(t)} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} u_{\rm b}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t,$$
 (19)

and the set velocity u_{set} associated with the gap G is defined as

$$u_{\text{set}}(t) = -G(t) / T_{\text{set}}, \qquad (20)$$

where T_{set} denotes a constant period used to tune the magnitude of this set velocity. Since the desired swimming speed towards the target, relative to the initial location, is negative (along the negative *x*-direction) in the coordinate system in figure 1, a negative sign is added to u_{set} . The value of T_{set} is obtained from numerical tests to yield stable control of swimming speed.

Based on the proposed scheme in equation (18), the plate would swim at a high velocity when the distance G(t) is large and slow down when approaching the target position. In case of an overshoot in position, reversing the sign of u_{set} would lead to reducing



Figure 3. Schematic illustration of the control scheme based on the horizontal motion of the flexible plate relative to the target (a), and the correlation of instantaneous u_{set} and gap G (b).

Table 1. Fixed parameters used in the simulation.

K	$C_{\rm ef0}$	m^*	kp	k _d	T_h	$T_{\rm set}$
0.5	2.0	0.5	7, 15	0.05	20T	4.2 <i>U/L</i>

the tracking error, and subsequent deceleration due to the reduced actuation force. And the plate would even be pushed back by the incoming flow if the produced thrust is overwhelmed by the drag. Nevertheless, the gap value will become positive after being pushed back, driving the plate to move forward again. When the plate is approaching the target and the value of u_{set} is very small, the controller instructs the plate to move at a nearly zero mean speed during each actuation period.

We employ an incremental calculation of the adjustment factor α (*t*), and the current value of α (*t_n*) is obtained by

$$\alpha(t_n) = \alpha(t_{n-1}) + \Delta \alpha(t_n), \qquad (21)$$

where $\alpha(t_{n-1})$ denotes the value of the last time step and $\Delta \alpha(t_n)$ is the error increment which is calculated by the PD control action

$$\Delta \alpha (t_n) = k_p \left[e(t_n) - e(t_{n-1}) \right] + \frac{k_d}{t_s} \left[e(t_n) - 2e(t_{n-1}) + e(t_{n-2}) \right], (22)$$

where t_s is the sampling period. The value of α calculated by equation (21) is limited to [0, 1] so that the amplitude of the actuation force stays between 0 and the specified maximum bound, while the force direction will not be changed. The values of k_p and k_d (listed in table 1) are obtained from numerical studies based on the Ziegler–Nichols method (Ziegler and

Nichols 1942) to yield an agile response and a small overshoot.

3.3. Computational domain and self-consistency study

The computational domain is presented in figure 4(a). On the flexible plate surface, the non-slip/no-flux condition is imposed, while for the other boundaries, the non-reflective far-field boundary condition is applied. A self-consistency study is conducted to assess the sensitivity to the mesh density and time step size by solving the laminar flow around a tethered flexible plate at Re = 500, $m^* = 0.5$, K = 0.5, $f^* = 2.5$, and $d_0 = 1.0L$. Three meshes are generated: a coarse mesh with 82574 cells, a mediumsize mesh with 103 888 cells, and a fine mesh with 130 533 cells. The first grid height of the three meshes is 0.005L. The structural mesh contains 132 fifteennode wedge elements. First, the mesh convergence test is performed in which the three generated meshes are used along with the non-dimensional time step size, defined as $\Delta t = \Delta t U/L$, $\Delta t = 0.00333$. The results of $C_{\rm T}$ yielded by the three meshes are presented in figure 5(a). It can be seen that the medium-size mesh yields result very close to that of the fine mesh. Thereafter, the effect of the time step size is also studied when the medium-size mesh is used, as shown in figure 5(b). These results suggest that the simulation results are not sensitive to the mesh size and time step size if they are sufficiently small. Therefore, the







medium mesh is used for the following simulations to ensure computational accuracy, and meanwhile, reduce the computational cost.

4. Results

Considering that a fish tends to hold station in front of the cylinder in a uniform flow, as reported in an experimental study by Liao *et al* (2003), we focus on the swimming of a flexible plate whose actuation force amplitude is dynamically adjusted in front of a cylinder via a feedback controller, as shown in figure 1. The swimming and station-holding in the absence of a cylinder in a uniform flow condition are also examined for comparison. Before we start the FSI simulations involving motion control of the plate, the fluid field around a rigid and static plate near the cylinder is first studied. Then the effect of Reynolds number (Re = 500, 1000, and 2000) and the initial distance between the plate and cylinder, d_0 , is considered with the fluid-only simulation results as the initial fluid field. Next, we explore how the actuation frequency f^* and the phase shift φ of the actuation force would influence the swimming performance and the control action. Some parameters remain unchanged for the following simulations, which are listed in table 1. It is noted that the maximum magnitude of the actuation force C_{ef0} is obtained through numerical tests to bend the plate to produce a large enough thrust to swim forward, but meanwhile avoid a too large magnitude that yields exaggerated deformation which may cause numerical issues.

4.1. Flow over the rigid plate at rest in front of a cylinder

Before we initiate the actuation force to deform the flexible plate, the flow over the cylinder and plate



at rest is firstly simulated. Taking Re = 1000 as an example, the mean drag coefficiencies $\overline{C_d}$ of the cylinder and the static plate at different d_0 is shown in figure 6. It can be seen that the presence of a cylinder in the wake results in a reduced drag force of the static rigid plate compared with the cases when it is placed solely in a uniform flow. The closer the plate is to the cylinder, the more remarkable this drag decrease is. The cylinder also gains a similar drag reduction when it is placed behind the plate. Nevertheless, this benefit does not present a monotonic variation with respect to the distance between the two bodies, and a maximum drag decrease is seen at $d_0 = 2L$. After this minimum value, $\overline{C_d}$ of the cylinder grows again as the plate is placed farther away from it. This may be related to the unsteady vortex shedding of the cylinder which is influenced by the upstream plate in a complex way at different gaps. A similar variation pattern of drag force of the cylinder ahead of a plate was reported in Wu et al (2014).

To get an insight into the surrounding fluid field, we plot the pressure distribution around the plate and cylinder in figure 7. It can be seen that the trailing part of the plate is exposed to the high pressure attributed to the cylinder when it is placed near the cylinder, as shown in figure 7(a), which helps to reduce the drag force. In comparison, when the plate is located further upstream of the cylinder, it loses the relatively high pressure which pushes it along the negative x-direction, as shown in figure 7(b). Meanwhile, the flow-incident surface of the cylinder also withstands larger pressure, which is similar to the scenarios when it is solely in a uniform flow as shown in figure 7(c). This high pressure on the left-side surface of the cylinder leads to a larger overall resistance compared to that when it is near the wake of the plate. It is interesting to further investigate how this hydrodynamic interaction between the plate and cylinder would influence the flexible deformation and

free-swimming of the plate when the actuation force is applied under the feedback control.

4.2. The effect of Re and initial distance d_0

After the above simulations of the static plate without flexible deformation, we now explore the selfpropulsion performance with the control objectives, i.e. swimming to a target position and stationholding, being implemented with $k_p = 7$ and $k_{\rm d} = 0.05$. The results in section 4.1 when the plate is rigid and static are taken as the initial fluid field for the following simulations involving flexible flapping and self swimming. The following results are shown in figure 8: the overall energy expenditure coefficient C_{Ps} and time T_s required to travel the distance of one body length L from different initial positions, the mean energy expenditure coefficient C_{Ph} , and the converged force factor α_h when the plate holds the station near the. The results for an isolated plate in the absence of the cylinder in the flow are also included for comparison. It can be found that under the present control scheme, generally, the less overall energy is consumed by the flexible plate to travel to the target position when it has an initial location closer to the cylinder behind. When the initial position is closer to the cylinder, it is also more energy-saving for the plate to stay there, reflected by a smaller C_{Ph} at a smaller d_0 . The curves of swimming time T_s to reach the target position present more complex variation patterns as d_0 and Re vary.

A closer inspection on figure 8(a) reveals that the variations of C_{Ps} are more sensitive to the initial distance d_0 before d_0 exceeds 1.0*L*, after which the curves of C_{Ps} are flat as d_0 increases. This trend is more noticeable for Re = 500, where C_{Ps} remains almost unchanged after d_0/L is larger than 2. The greatest energy saving compared to an isolated plate that travels the same distance is seen at $d_0 = 0.3L$ and Re = 1000, with a reduction of 39% in C_{Ps} . In



Figure 7. The pressure distribution $(C_{\text{pressure}} = (p - p_{\infty})/0.5\rho_t U^2$ where p_{∞} is the pressure in the far-field) around the cylinder and static rigid plate in the uniform flow at $d_0 = 0.3L$ (a) and $d_0 = 6.0L$ (b), and around the isolated cylinder (c) at tU/L = 104 when Re = 1000.



Figure 8. The overall energy expenditure coefficient C_{P_s} (a) and time T_s (b) required by the plate to reach the target by traveling the same distance *L*, the mean energy expenditure coefficient C_{P_h} (c), and the converged adjustment factor α_h of actuation force amplitude (d) when the plate holds station near the target at different initial distances relative to the cylinder d_0 and Re with $f^* = 2.5$ and $\varphi = 0$. The three straight lines represent the results when the plate is placed in the uniform in the absence of a cylinder at the respective Reynolds number.

addition to the initial position, the Reynolds number is also a significant factor in determining the swimming energy consumption. For example, 183% more energy is needed to swim the same distance at $d_0/L = 0.75$ when Re decreases from 2000 to 500. Generally, it is more energy efficient to swim in the







flow condition with larger Re regardless of the initial positions and the presence of the cylinder. Nevertheless, T_s does not increase with a larger d_0 or a smaller Re, unlike C_{Ps} does under the present control scheme, suggesting that energy-saving does not necessarily mean time-saving simultaneously. For example, T_s at Re = 2000 is the largest compared with the cases with lower Re where greater energy consumption (C_{Ps}) is implying

seen when d_0 is fixed, as shown in figures 8(a) and (b). The variation patterns of C_{Ps} generally apply to the mean energy cost coefficient C_{Ph} during the stationholding after reaching the target. The closer to the cylinder the target is, the less energy is required by the plate to stay there. A larger Re also contributes to the reduction of energy consumption. Not surprisingly, the curves of the converged force adjustment factor α_h show similar patterns as d_0 and Re are varied to that of C_{Ps} and C_{Ph} whose calculations are dependent on instantaneous force amplitude.

The instantaneous swimming distance relative to the initial position, the swimming velocity, and the force amplitude factor α produced by the controller, at $d_0 = 0.3L$ and $d_0 = 6.0L$, are presented in figures 9-11, respectively. It can be found that the swimming distance and velocity curves when $d_0 = 6.0L$ are close to that of an isolated plate, implying the hydrodynamic benefit from the cylinder upstream is rather weak at this remote initial position. The plate is firstly drifted downstream at the beginning of the swimming (before t = 11T) when the plate departs from a position far from the cylinder. This is related to the small force amplitude (α) in the early phase as presented in figure 11, under which the generated thrust is not large enough to overcome the drag. But this downstream drift is not seen at $d_0 = 0.3L$ and is significantly reduced at a higher Reynold number, 2000. Under the closed-loop feedback control, the plate experiences remarkable







acceleration and reaches the maximum swimming speed at around t = 17T when the maximum actuation force is applied as shown in figures 10 and 11. After this peak swimming velocity, the exerted actuation force is reduced under the feedback control as the plate approaches the target. As a result, the plate

decelerates and swims slowly towards the target to avoid significant overshoot.

The swimming speed during the holding station at the target is relatively small and fluctuates upstream and downstream symmetrically, as plotted in figure 10. We find that the converged



swimming velocity at the larger Re (2000) during the position-holding phase seems more stable in contrast to a lower Re (500) in terms of smaller undulation amplitude ($\pm 0.01U$ versus $\pm 0.02U$). Furthermore, a smaller d_0 also yields a smaller undulation amplitude of the swimming speed. In general, the implemented feedback controller is shown to be effective in the motion control of the considered flexible plate involving dynamic FSI, evidenced by the well-converged plate position, swimming speed, and actuation force amplitude factor, as shown in figures 9–11.

In addition to the above swimming performance, we also study the flexible structural deformation due

to the dynamic interplay of the structure and the fluid with the actuation force being applied. The tip displacement of the trailing edge of the plate is depicted in figure 12. The maximum tip displacement of the plate at Re = 500 is greater than that at Re = 2000 attributed to a larger actuation force applied on the surface during the station holding. A closer initial location, and thus a closer target position relative to the cylinder, seems to have little effect on the maximum amplitude of the displacement. Nevertheless, a more noticeable oscillation of the displacement amplitude is presented at $d_0/L = 0.3$ and 1.0, but not at $d_0/L = 6.0$ for both Reynolds numbers,



which is marked in figure 12. It should be mentioned that the normalized displacement oscillation period $T_{\text{oscillation}} U/L$, defined as the time interval of the maximum trailing-edge tip displacements of the plate as shown in figure 12, at $d_0/L = 0.3$ is 4.79 and 4.27 for Re = 500 and 2000, respectively, which are close to the vortex shedding period from the cylinder, i.e. 4.69 and 4.39, respectively. Therefore, we conjuncture that this displacement oscillation is mainly caused by the nearby downstream periodic vortex shedding from the cylinder surface. This demonstrates the interaction between the flexible deformation and nearby vortices, which is not presented if the plate is far from the cylinder.

For further insight into the flexible deformation of the plate under actuation force, we plot the envelope of the midline in one actuation period in figure 13. The periodic body deformation is generally symmetric about the horizontal balanced position (y-axis), which shows similarity to the body deformation patterns of a real larval zebrafish (Müller and van Leeuwen 2004) under the present force actuation mechanism in terms of the arch-shaped midline at the posterior body (see figure 2 in their work). The observed tip deformation at Re = 500 is larger than that at Re = 2000 due to a larger actuation force as shown in figure 11. At t = 0.5T and t = T when the instantaneous force amplitude is zero, a more noticeable arch near the middle of the body length is seen at Re = 500. In comparison, the body at Re = 2000presents a more flat profile at this instant.

The instantaneous Z-vorticity contour around the plate during station-holding at two different d_0 is depicted in figure 14. A pair of vortices, each with clockwise and anti-clockwise direction, is shed from the trailing edge of the plate, roughly at t = 0.25T

and t = 0.75T, when the plate flaps reversely from one extreme side to another side, respectively, during one period. The effect of the presence of the fixed cylinder is mainly reflected by the morphologies of the wake vortices. Namely, the vortices behind the plate are more compressed towards the negative x-direction at $d_0/L = 0.3$, which presents a 'V' style layout from the plate tail to the downstream till the cylinder. In contrast, at $d_0/L = 6.0$, when the plate is far from the cylinder, the vortices show more regular patterns which have been seen behind a flapping foil or plate in static fluid and uniform flow (Kim et al 2016, Zhu et al 2014). This difference is caused by the high pressure on the left-side surface of the cylinder, as shown in figure 15. Due to this high pressure, the low-pressure zone near the tail of the plate is reduced in size at $d_0/L = 0.3$ compared with that at $d_0/L = 6.0$, which can be found in a comparison of figures 15(a) and (b). Apart from this noticeable reduction of low-pressure, the other pressure distributions between these two are generally identical. This would help the plate gain a drag reduction, and thus, less energy is required to hold the station for $d_0/L = 0.3$ as presented in figures 8(c) and (d). The vortices patterns and the pressure distribution at Re = 2000 are qualitatively similar to the counterparts at Re = 500. Therefore, they are not shown here.

4.3. The effect of force frequency f^* and phase shift angle φ

In this section, we study the effect of f^* and φ on the swimming performance and station-holding capability with Re = 500 and $k_p = 15$. We now use a larger k_p than $k_p = 7$ in section 4.2 to yield a faster initial acceleration of the plate. This aims at avoiding the



numerical divergence due to the clashes of the cylinder grid cluster and the plate grid cluster for some cases considered in this section. This clash is caused by the downstream drift of the plate by the incoming flow when the produced thrust is overwhelmed by the drag force at the initial swimming phase if a small k_p is used.

The results of C_{Ps} , T_s , C_{Ph} and α_h at different force frequencies are summarized in figure 16. As can be seen, the actuation frequency has a significant effect on all four parameters. Specifically, much more energy and swimming time are required at $f^* = 2.0$ when d_0/L is beyond 1 for the plate to travel the same distance and hold the station at the target compared with other f^* . The most energy savings and time savings are yielded at $f^* = 2.5$ for $d_0/L > 1$. A larger force amplitude is needed to swim forward and hold the station at $f^* = 2.0$, which even approaches the maximum allowed force amplitude ($\alpha \approx 1$) at a large d_0 , e.g. 6.0L. Our simulation also shows that an isolated plate in the absence of the cylinder is unable to swim forward or hold the position, and it is drifted downstream, at $f^* = 2.0$ under the current control scheme even with the maximum force amplitude $C_{\rm ef0} = 2.0$ $(\alpha = 1).$

A close inspection on figure 17(a) reveals that the reduced C_{Ps} at $f^* = 1.5$, compared to $f^* = 2.0$, may be attributed to a faster arrival at the target position, despite that a larger maximum swimming speed is seen at t = 10T for $f^* = 2.0$. By comparing figures 17(c) and (d), we also find that a larger force amplitude and higher actuation frequency at $f^* = 2.0$ do not lead to greater tip amplitude than that at $f^* = 1.5$, as demonstrated in Dai *et al* (2012), implying a complex interaction between the flexible deformation and actuation parameters.

Figure 18 depicts the instantaneous Z-vorticity contours around the plate and cylinder during station holding near the target at $d_0/L = 0.3$ under the two different actuation force frequencies. The vortices distribution around the left-side surface of the cylinder is more disturbed by the shedding vortices from the plate tail at $f^* = 1.5$. Thus, the shedding vortices by the cylinder have a less regular configuration compared with that behind the cylinder at $f^* = 2.0$ and 2.5, as shown in figures 14 and 18. This may be attributed to the greater oscillation amplitude of the plate's tip at $f^* = 1.5$. The maximum tip displacements for the three frequencies are 0.147L, 0.134L, and 0.127L at $f^* = 1.5$, 2.0 and 2.5, respectively. Larger flapping motion at the trailing edge enhances the capability of the plate to communicate momentum to the surrounding flow (Michelin and Llewellyn Smith 2009), thus leading to a stronger influence on the vortices shed from the cylinder at $f^* = 1.5.$



















Figure 22. Phase diagram for the three motion modes. The circles represent HS, the triangles denote DU and rhombuses represent DD modes. The solid points represent the cases we have simulated. Reprinted figure with permission from [Wang *et al*], Copyright (2019) by the American Physical Society.

We next explore the effect of the phase shift φ of the actuation force in equation (2) at Re = 500, $f^* = 2.5$, and $d_0/L = 1.0$. The results of C_{Ps} , T_s , C_{Ph} and α_h are presented in figure 19. The phase shift has a similar effect on C_{Ps} and T_s as φ increases. Under the parameters studied, a maximum and minimum value of C_{Ps} and T_s are seen at $\varphi = 60$ and 120 deg. In comparison, the energy-saving and swimming timesaving are roughly 16% from $\varphi = 60$ deg to 120 deg. Nevertheless, the phase shift φ has a negligible effect on C_{Ph} and α_h in which the maximum difference caused by φ is below 1%. Therefore, the phase shift generally only affects the swimming phase from the initial location to the target, while the station holding is far less sensitive to φ . Likewise, the influence of the phase shift on the instantaneous variations of swimming distance x_s , swimming speed u_b , and force adjustment factor α is mainly noticeable during the swimming phase, as shown in figure 20.

Table 2. The horizontal holding positions of the leading edge of the plate when $H_0 = 0.3L$.

G_0/L	The present range of <i>G/L</i>	The range of G/L in Wang et al (2019)
15	17.15–17.25	16.0–16.1
17	19.89–20.01	18.9–19.0



Figure 23. The streamwise trajectory of the leading edge for the three-movement modes when $G_0/L = 6$ with $H_0/L = 0.3$ for DU mode, $G_0/L = 15$ with $H_0/L = 0.3$ for HS mode, and $G_0/L = 20$ with $H_0/L = 0.2$ for DD mode.

5. Conclusions

The swimming and station-holding performance of a flexible plate in front of a fixed cylinder in a uniform flow is numerically studied by using a high-fidelity FSI solver. The deformation of the two-dimensional plate is actuated by the imposed actuation force that mimics the muscle force of the fish body and fin. The amplitude of this actuation force is dynamically tuned by a feedback PD controller to achieve the control objective, i.e. swimming from the initial position to a designated target point and holding station there.

The simulation results show that the proposed control scheme to adjust the actuation force amplitude, based on swimming speed and the instantaneous gap between the plate location and target, is effective and robust when coupled with the FSI solver. The plate successfully achieves the control objectives of reaching the target and holding the station stably despite the drag force due to the incoming flow at different flow environments (Reynold numbers, and initial position relative to the cylinder) and different actuation force formations (force frequencies and phase shift angles).

Nevertheless, these flow conditions and force actuation formulas indeed result in performance changes, in terms of swimming time T_s and energy consumption C_{Ps} traveling over the same distance (one body length) from the initial position to the target, and the energy expenditure C_{Ph} required to hold the station at the target. We find that energy

consumption is reduced for swimming and station holding at a higher Re compared with a smaller one. The plate which departs from an initial position d_0 closer to the cylinder can also benefit energy savings reflected by smaller C_{Ps} and C_{Ph} , compared to that with larger d_0 at the same Reynolds number, force actuation formula, and control scheme. This may be attributed to the reduction of the low-pressure zone in size near the trailing edge of the plate (see figure 15) due to the downstream cylinder, which provides additional thrust boost (or drag reduction) to help save energy. Meanwhile, the flexible deformation of the plate is also affected by the nearby cylinder flow field, reflected by the frequency resonance of the oscillation of the maximum tip displacement with the shedding vortices from the cylinder (see figure 12). These benefits and influences are reduced when the plate is far away from the cylinder. If d_0 is large enough, the swimming time and energy consumption are almost the same as that in a uniform flow in the absence of the cylinder.

The force frequency has a significant effect on swimming performance. Under the parameters studied, much more swimming time and energy consumption are required at $f^* = 2.0$ compared with other frequencies when all the other variables are the same. Even at $f^* = 2.0$, an isolated plate in a uniform flow fails to produce sufficient thrust to overcome the drag force to swim forward, despite the applied largest force amplitude allowed in this work. In comparison, the effect of the phase shift φ of the actuation force is less significant. To be more specific, the difference in swimming energy consumption, C_{Ps} , from the initial to the target position attributed to varied φ is below 16%, while it has a negligible effect on station-holding performance after the plate reaches the target.

In this study, a simple controller is used for motion control, which proves to be effective for the considered control objectives under the simulation environment. Refined PD tuning or even more advanced control strategies are anticipated for more complex performance specifications. It is also noted that the plate is restricted to move only in the axial direction in this work. Our numerical tests show that there may be a non-negligible lateral movement if it is considered due to the phase shift between the applied force and the actual bending of the plate under the present actuation scheme. Specific measures may be needed when the lateral movement is considered to reduce the deviation, e.g. by introducing an additional controller to tune the force direction, which would be an interesting topic for future study.

Acknowledgments

This work used the Cirrus UK National Tier-2 HPC Service at EPCC (https://cirrus.ac.uk) funded by the University of Edinburgh and EPSRC (EP/P020267/1) and ARCHIE-WeSt High Performance Computer (www.archie-west.ac.uk) based at the University of Strathclyde. The first author thanks China Scholarship Council (CSC) for financial support during his study in the UK.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

Appendix. Validation

This validation involving a self-propelled flexible plate in the wake of two tandem cylinders described in Wang et al (2019), as shown in figure 21. In this simulation, a prescribed motion at the leading edge of the plate is imposed as $H(t) = H_0 \sin(2\pi ft)$ with H_0 being the maximum heave amplitude and f denoting the heave frequency, while the trailing edge of the plate is set free. The distance between the two cylinders D_x is 4.5D with D being the diameter of the two cylinders. According to the studies in Wang et al (2019), if the plate is able to hold stationary in the wake, the flapping frequency needs to be identical to that of the vortex shedding. Therefore, we firstly perform a fluid-only simulation, i.e. the flow over the two tandem cylinders without the flexible plate in the wake of them, and obtain the vortex shedding Strouhal number, $St = f_v L/U_0 = 0.176$ (with f_v being the vortex shedding frequency, L being the length of the plate and U_0 denoting the incoming flow velocity), which is close to the value 0.185 reported in Wang et al (2019). Then the dimensionless heave motion frequency is defined as $f^* = fL/U_0 = 0.176$. In this validation, the governing parameters matching with the counterparts in Wang *et al* (2019) are the Reynolds number Re $= U_0 L/\nu = 200$, the mass ratio $\sigma = \rho_{\rm s} h / \rho_{\rm f} L = 1$, and the bending rigidity K = $EI/\rho_{\rm f} U^2 D^3 = 0.5.$

There are three typical modes of the plate in the wake of the cylinders, i.e. drift upstream (DU), DD, and holding stationary (HD) depending on the flapping amplitudes H_0 and initial horizontal positions of the plate G_0 , which are summarized by Wang *et al* (2019) as shown in figure 22. For the HS mode, we quantitatively compare the simulated results of the horizontal positions of the leading edge of the plate when it is holding station at $H_0 = 0.3L$ with that reported in their work in table 2. The typical trajectories of the three motion modes in our simulations are shown in figure 23. Therefore, it can be seen that our simulation results present good agreement with the counterparts in Wang *et al* (2019).

ORCID iDs

Yang Luo b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7875-6842 Qing Xiao b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8512-5299

References

- Bao Y and Tao J 2013 Active control of a cylinder wake flow by using a streamwise oscillating foil *Phys. Fluids* **25** 053601
- Batina J T 1990 Unsteady Euler airfoil solutions using unstructured dynamic meshes *AIAA J.* **28** 1381–8
- Beal D N, Hover F S, Triantafyllou M S, Liao J C and Lauder G V 2006 Passive propulsion in vortex wakes J. Fluid Mech. 549 385
- Bottom Ii R G, Borazjani I, Blevins E L and Lauder G V 2016 Hydrodynamics of swimming in stingrays: numerical simulations and the role of the leading-edge vortex *J. Fluid Mech.* **788** 407–43
- Bungartz H-J, Lindner F, Gatzhammer B, Mehl M, Scheufele K, Shukaev A and Uekermann B 2016 preCICE—a fully parallel library for multi-physics surface coupling *Comput. Fluids* 141 250–8
- Carling J, Williams T L and Bowtell G 1998 Self-propelled anguilliform swimming: simultaneous solution of the twodimensional Navier–Stokes equations and Newton's laws of motion *J. Exp. Biol.* **201** 3143–66
- Daghooghi M and Borazjani I 2015 The hydrodynamic advantages of synchronized swimming in a rectangular pattern *Bioinspir*. *Biomim.* **10** 056018
- Dai H, Luo H and Doyle J F 2012 Dynamic pitching of an elastic rectangular wing in hovering motion *J. Fluid Mech.* 693 473–99
- Dai L, He G and Zhang X 2016 Self-propelled swimming of a flexible plunging foil near a solid wall *Bioinspir. Biomim.* 11 046005
- Dhondt G 2004 The Finite Element Method for Three-Dimensional Thermomechanical Applications (New York: Wiley)
- Gao A and Triantafyllou M S 2018 Independent caudal fin actuation enables high energy extraction and control in twodimensional fish-like group swimming *J. Fluid Mech.* **850** 304–35
- Hess A, Tan X and Gao T 2020 CFD-based multi-objective controller optimization for soft robotic fish with muscle-like actuation *Bioinspir*. *Biomim.* **15** 035004
- Jameson A, Schmidt W and Turkel E L I 1981 Numerical solution of the Euler equations by finite volume methods using Runge Kutta time stepping schemes *14th Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conf.* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics)
- Kim B, Park S G, Huang W and Sung H J 2016 Self-propelled heaving and pitching flexible fin in a quiescent flow *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* 62 273–81
- Kopman V, Laut J, Acquaviva F, Rizzo A and Porfiri M 2014 Dynamic modeling of a robotic fish propelled by a compliant tail *IEEE J. Ocean. Eng.* **40** 209–21
- Lee Y and Baeder J 2003 Implicit hole cutting-a new approach to overset grid connectivity *16th AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conf.* p 4128
- Leroyer A and Visonneau M 2005 Numerical methods for RANSE simulations of a self-propelled fish-like body *J. Fluids Struct.* **20** 975–91
- Liao J C 2007 A review of fish swimming mechanics and behaviour in altered flows *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* B **362** 1973–93
- Liao J C, Beal D N, Lauder G V and Triantafyllou M S 2003 The Kańrmańn gait: novel body kinematics of rainbow trout swimming in a vortex street J. Exp. Biol. 206 1059–73
- Liao W, Cai J and Tsai H M 2007 A multigrid overset grid flow solver with implicit hole cutting method *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **196** 1701–15
- Liu G, Ren Y, Dong H, Akanyeti O, Liao J C and Lauder G V 2017 Computational analysis of vortex dynamics and performance enhancement due to body–fin and fin–fin interactions in fish-like locomotion *J. Fluid Mech.* **829** 65–88
- Liu W, Xiao Q and Zhu Q 2016 Passive flexibility effect on oscillating foil energy harvester AIAA J. 54 1172–87
- Luo Y, Xiao Q, Shi G, Wen L, Chen D and Pan G 2020a A fluid–structure interaction solver for the study on a passively deformed fish fin with non-uniformly distributed stiffness J. Fluids Struct. 92 102778

- Luo Y, Xiao Q, Zhu Q and Pan G 2020b Pulsed-jet propulsion of a squid-inspired swimmer at high Reynolds number *Phys. Fluids* **32** 111901
- Luo Y, Xiao Q, Zhu Q and Pan G 2021 Jet propulsion of a squidinspired swimmer in the presence of background flow *Phys. Fluids* **33** 031909
- Maertens A P, Gao A and Triantafyllou M S 2017 Optimal undulatory swimming for a single fish-like body and for a pair of interacting swimmers *J. Fluid Mech.* 813 301–45
- Mehl M, Uekermann B, Bijl H, Blom D, Gatzhammer B and van Zuijlen A 2016 Parallel coupling numerics for partitioned fluid–structure interaction simulations *Comput. Math. Appl.* 71 869–91
- Michelin S and Llewellyn Smith S G 2009 Resonance and propulsion performance of a heaving flexible wing *Phys. Fluids* **21** 071902
- Mittal R, Dong H, Bozkurttas M, Lauder G and Madden P 2006 Locomotion with flexible propulsors: II. Computational modeling of pectoral fin swimming in sunfish *Bioinspir*. *Biomim.* 1 S35
- Müller U K and van Leeuwen J L 2004 Swimming of larval zebrafish: ontogeny of body waves and implications for locomotory development J. Exp. Biol. 207 853–68
- Ogunka U E, Daghooghi M, Akbarzadeh A M and Borazjani I 2020 The ground effect in anguilliform swimming *Biomimetics* **5** 9
- Park S G, Kim B and Sung H J 2016 Self-propelled flexible fin in the wake of a circular cylinder *Phys. Fluids* 28 111902
- Sadeghi M 2004 Parallel Computation of Three-Dimensional Aeroelastic Fluid–Structure Interaction (Irvine: University of California)
- Sadeghi M, Yang S, Liu F and Tsai H 2003 Parallel computation of wing flutter with a coupled Navier–Stokes/CSD method 41st Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit p 1347
- Scholander P F 1959 Wave-riding dolphins: how do they do it?: at present only the dolphin knows the answer to this free-for-all in hydrodynamics *Science* **129** 1085–7
- Shao X, Pan D, Deng J and Yu Z 2010 Hydrodynamic performance of a fishlike undulating foil in the wake of a cylinder *Phys. Fluids* 22 111903

- Shi G and Xiao Q 2021 Numerical investigation of a bio-inspired underwater robot with skeleton-reinforced undulating fins *Eur. J. Mech.* B **87** 75–91
- Shi G, Xiao Q, Zhu Q and Liao W 2019 Fluid–structure interaction modeling on a 3D ray-strengthened caudal fin *Bioinspir*. *Biomim.* 14 036012
- Shuler S W, Nehring R B and Fausch K D 1994 Diel habitat selection by brown trout in the Rio Grande River, Colorado, after placement of boulder structures North Am. J. Fisheries Manage. 14 99–111
- Tian F-B, Luo H, Zhu L, Liao J C and Lu X-Y 2011 An efficient immersed boundary-lattice Boltzmann method for the hydrodynamic interaction of elastic filaments *J. Comput. Phys.* 230 7266–83
- Tian F-B, Luo H, Zhu L and Lu X-Y 2010 Interaction between a flexible filament and a downstream rigid body *Phys. Rev.* E **82** 026301
- Tsai H M, Wong A S F, ZhuCai Y, Liu F and Liu F 2001 Unsteady flow calculations with a parallel multiblock moving mesh algorithm *AIAA J*. **39** 1021–9
- Wang W, Huang H and Lu X-Y 2019 Self-propelled plate in wakes behind tandem cylinders *Phys. Rev.* E **100** 033114
- Wu J, Shu C and Zhao N 2014 Numerical study of flow control via the interaction between a circular cylinder and a flexible plate J. Fluids Struct. 49 594–613
- Xiao Q and Liao W 2010 Numerical investigation of angle of attack profile on propulsion performance of an oscillating foil *Comput. Fluids* **39** 1366–80
- Xiao Q, Liao W, Yang S and Peng Y 2012a How motion trajectory affects energy extraction performance of a biomimic energy generator with an oscillating foil? *Renew. Energy* **37** 61–75
- Xiao Q, Liu W and Hu J 2012b Parametric study on a cylinder drag reduction using downstream undulating foil *Eur. J. Mech.* B **36** 48–62
- Yen W-K, Sierra D M and Guo J 2018 Controlling a robotic fish to swim along a wall using hydrodynamic pressure feedback *IEEE J. Oceanic Eng.* **43** 369–80
- Zhu X, He G and Zhang X 2014 Numerical study on hydrodynamic effect of flexibility in a self-propelled plunging foil *Comput. Fluids* **97** 1–20
- Ziegler J G and Nichols N B 1942 Optimum settings for automatic controllers *Trans. ASME* 14 64